

**Mastermath
Spring 2019
Exam Selected Areas in Cryptology
Tuesday, 10 June 2019**

Name :

Student number and home university :

Exercise	1	2	3	4	5	6	total
points							

Notes: Please hand in this sheet at the end of the exam. You may keep the sheets with the exercises.

This exam consists of 6 exercises. You can reach 100 points.

You have from 10:00 – 13:00 to solve them.

Make sure to justify your answers in detail and to give clear arguments. Document all steps, in particular of algorithms; it is not sufficient to state the correct result without the explanation. If the problem requires usage of a particular algorithm other solutions will not be accepted even if they give the correct result.

All answers must be submitted on paper provided by the university; should you require more sheets ask the proctor. State your name on every sheet.

Do not write in red or with a pencil.

You are allowed to use any books and notes, e.g. your homework.

You are not allowed to use the textbooks of your colleagues.

You are allowed to use a calculator without networking abilities.

Usage of laptops and cell phones is forbidden.

1. This exercise is about code-based cryptography.

(a) Code C is given by its parity check matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Compute a generator matrix for this code.

3 points

(b) For a Goppa code with $m = 10$, n of maximal size, and degree of the irreducible polynomial $t = 50$, compute or give bounds for the length, dimension, and minimum distance.

4 points

2. Let H be the parity-check matrix of a code of length n , dimension k , and minimum distance $d = 2t + 1$. The school-book version of the Niederreiter system encrypts a message $m \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ of Hamming weight t by computing the syndrome $s = H \cdot m$.

You are given access to a decryption oracle. In the following two situations, show how to recover m and compute how many calls to the oracle are required.

(a) The oracle decrypts any ciphertext $s' \neq s$ provided that $s' = H \cdot m'$ with m' of Hamming weight less than or equal to t .

5 points

(b) The oracle decrypts any ciphertext $s' \neq s$ provided that $s' = H \cdot m'$ with m' of Hamming weight exactly equal to t .

10 points

3. This exercise is about the NTRU encryption system. Remember that all computations take place in $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ and are done modulo 3 or modulo q . The secret key consists of $f(x), g(x) \in R$, where f is invertible in $R_q = R/q$ and R_3 , and f has exactly d_f coefficients equal to 1 and $d_f - 1$ coefficients equal to -1 for some integer d_f . Similarly, g has d_g coefficients equal to 1 and the same number equal to -1 . All other coefficients of f and g are 0. The public key is $h = 3g/f$ in R_q .

To encrypt $m \in R$ with coefficients in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ pick random $r \in R$ with d_r coefficients equal to -1 , the same number equal to 1, and all others equal to 0. Then compute the ciphertext $c \equiv r \cdot h + m \pmod{q}$; move all coefficients to $(-q/2, q/2]$ to get a unique representative of c .

To decrypt $c \in R_q$ compute $a = f \cdot c \pmod{q}$, again moving all coefficients to $(-q/2, q/2]$ (hence we use $=$ instead of \equiv) and compute $m = a/f \pmod{3}$ with coefficients in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$.

(a) Explain why decryption recovers m for sufficiently large choices of q and show how to choose q relative to d_f, d_g , and d_r to avoid decryption failures. You can assume that $d_r \leq d_g$.

6 points

- (b) One tweak of NTRU is to use public key $h = g/F$ with $F = 1 + 3f$, where f is chosen to have d_f coefficients equal to 1 and the same number equal to -1 . Explain how this simplifies the decryption procedure and compute lower bounds on q in terms of d_f, d_g , and d_r to avoid decryption failures.

10 points

4. This exercise is about hash-based signatures.

- (a) Explain in your own words how the the Winternitz one-time-signature scheme works.

6 points

- (b) A user accidentally uses his Winternitz signature key twice. Explain how an attacker can use these signatures to create a new signature.

6 points

5. This exercise is about differential cryptanalysis of the same toy cipher from the lectures. Using key $(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5) \in (\{0, 1\}^{16})^5$ it encrypts a plaintext $P = P_1 || \dots || P_{16} \in \{0, 1\}^{16}$ as follows. Let S be the current state, we start with $S = P$. Rounds $i = 1, 2, 3$ perform key mixing

$$S \leftarrow S \oplus k_i,$$

substitution using a Sbox (Table 2)

$$S \leftarrow Sbox(S_1 \dots S_4) || \dots || Sbox(S_{12} \dots S_{16}),$$

and finally applies permutation π_P (Table 1) on the state bits:

$$S \leftarrow S_{\pi_P(1)} || \dots || S_{\pi_P(16)} = S_1 || S_5 || S_9 || \dots || S_{12} || S_{16}.$$

Round 4 applies key mixing with round key k_4 , substitution using the sbox and finally applies another key mixing with round key k_5 . After round 4, the cipher outputs the current state S as the ciphertext C .

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$\pi_P(i)$	1	5	9	13	2	6	10	14	3	7	11	15	4	8	12	16

Table 1: State bit permutation

In contrast to the lecture notes, we use the following SBox:

in	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
out	4	2	14	8	10	12	7	1	15	5	0	11	9	3	6	13

Note most significant bit is left most bit, so 12 represents '1100' in binary.

Table 2: Sbox

This SBox has the following Difference Distribution Table (Table 3:

		Δ_{out}															
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Δ_{in}	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0
	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	4	4
	3	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0
	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	0
	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	4	0	4
	6	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	7	0	0	8	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	8	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	2
	9	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	2	2	2	2	0	0	2	0	0
	10	0	4	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	2	0
	11	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	4	2	0	2	2	0	0	0	2
	12	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	2	2	2	2	0	0	2	0	0
	13	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	2
	14	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	4	2	0	2	2	0	0	0	2
	15	0	4	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	2	0

Table 3: Sbox difference distribution table

- (a) Complete the DDT. You only have to write down the missing numbers in a table. (Hint: to fill a column: fix Δ_{out} ; iterate over out instead of in .) 8 points
- (b) Construct a differential trail for this cipher over the first three rounds with only one active SBox in the second round and compute its estimated probability. 10 points
- (c) Consider the boomerang with input plaintext difference

$$\Delta P = (0000\ 0100\ 0000\ 0000)$$

and output ciphertext difference

$$\Delta C = (0000\ 0000\ 0110\ 0000),$$

then a quartet $(P^{(1)}, P^{(2)}, P^{(3)}, P^{(4)})$ satisfies this boomerang if

$$P^{(1)} \oplus P^{(2)} = \Delta P, \quad P^{(3)} \oplus P^{(4)} = \Delta P, \quad \text{and}$$

$$C^{(1)} \oplus C^{(3)} = \Delta C, \quad C^{(2)} \oplus C^{(4)} = \Delta C.$$

Compute the total success probability of finding such quartets over all round 1 & 2 differentials with the given ΔP and all round 3 & 4 differentials

with the given ΔC , i.e., compute

$$p_{success} = \left(\sum_{(\Delta P, \Delta O_1, \Delta O_2)} \Pr[(\Delta P, \Delta O_1, \Delta O_2)]^2 \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{(\Delta O_2, \Delta O_3, \Delta C)} \Pr[(\Delta O_2, \Delta O_3, \Delta C)]^2 \right)$$

(Hint: use the fact that in round 2 each Sbox has either input difference 0 or 4 (0100), so every *active* round 2 Sbox contributes a term

$$\sum_{(\Delta In=4, \Delta Out \in \{0, \dots, 15\})} \Pr[(\Delta In, \Delta Out)]^2 = 2 \times (4/16)^2 + 1 \times (8/16)^2.$$

Likewise, in round 3 each active Sbox has output difference 2 (0010).

) 10 points

6. This exercise is about applying generic cryptanalytic algorithms. Let $h : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{128}$ be a 128-bit hash function. A website stores for each user a username string u and a 128-bit hash $a = h(p)$ of the user's password string p , it only allows numeric passwords (i.e., '0...9') of length 13.

- (a) Explain how to apply Hellman's time-memory trade-off attack to h to recover passwords from the given password space \mathcal{P} . 10 points
- (b) What is the memory complexity and online time complexity? (expressed in Bytes and in evaluations of h , respectively). 4 points
- (c) Hellman's attack allows preimage attacks against a known small preimage set like passwords. However it turns out that a generic cryptanalytic attack can be used against h with complexity significantly lower than the desired 2^{128} . This is because h is a Merkle-Damgard construction with a secure blockcipher $E(K, P)$ used as a compression function *without using the Davies-Meyer feedforward*. That is, for a message M that is padded and split into blocks M_1, \dots, M_r , its hash $h(M)$ is computed as:

$$CV_0 = IV, \quad CV_i = E(M_i, CV_{i-1}), \quad h(M) = CV_r.$$

Explain how to compute a preimage significantly faster than 2^{128} evaluations.

(Hint: given a hash s , consider a message consisting of two blocks M_1, M_2 and use $CV_2 = s$.) 8 points